UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,790	12/09/2003	Michael Kilian	E0295.70190US00	4910
46630 EMC Corporati	7590 05/26/201 on	EXAMINER		
c/o WOLF, GR	EENFIELD & SACKS	PHAM, KHANH B		
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/731,790	KILIAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Khanh B. Pham	2166	

	Talalii B. I Halli	2100
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, which places the ewith 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriate extension fee t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on Ablief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment of the proposed	nsideration and/or search (see NC	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Khanh B. Pham/	
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2166	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argued that Margolus stores expiration times in the version list, the examiner agreed. However, Margolus does not teach a separate file to store the version list, but instead teaches at Fig. 2 that the version list is stored within the object f. Margolus therefore teaches the expiration data, or retention period, is stored in the object f.

Applicant further request clarification as to "why the Examiner believes the boxes at each of the three storage sites in Fig. 2 are illustrating the content of the object f, rather than the version list for the object f, the examiner respectfully submits that each of the boxes in Fig. 2 are labeled "object f", not "version list", and inside each box are the version list comprising a list of different versions of object f such as "f1", "f2", "f3" in association with their expiration time "exp", consistent with the description of Fig 2 at paragraph 101.

In light of the foregoing argument, the 35 USC 103 rejection is hereby sustained.